OM: WorldCom Technology Law Washington DC \*\* (MON) 8. 9'04 7:37/ST. 7:36/NO.4261173617 P 1

Docket No.: COS97087

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECFIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER 5454 Confirmation No.:

Applicant(s): BARRY et al. 09/159,695 Serial No .:

Art Unit:

Examiner: B. Jaroenchonwanit

Filed: September 24, 1998 Title: Integrated Business System for Web Based Telecommunication Man

AUG - 9 2004

## RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.48(a)

Commissioner of Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Alesia M. Brown

RANSMISSION CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a) wited homes is be er ((703) 872-9306) on

Dear Ms. Brown:

In response to the Decision on Petition mailed July 26, 2004, Applicants are filing a Renewed Petition 37 CFR 1.48(a) which is being filed in conjunction with submission of a Petition Under 37 CFR 1.183 and the requisite fee.

Applicants are submitting the following:

- 1. Amendment, Petition & Fee To Add Original Erroneously Not Named Inventor(s) In Non-Provisional Application Declaration (37 CFR §1.48(A)) (2 pages);
- Statement of Non-Deceptive Intent by the Inventor Being Added; and
- 3. Declaration executed by Inventors Barry, Chodoronek, James, Tusa and Devine (Exhibit
- Assent of the Assignce;
- Exhibits A-C (correspondence, Declaration and Federal Express details for non-signing inventors); and
  - Petition Under 37 CFR 1.183 authorizing the payment of the requisite fee of \$130.00.

In response to Applicants' June 8, 2004 submission, the Petitions Examiner required Applicants 02/24/2005 IDADE submitta Petition Under 376 FR 1.48(a). Apparently, the Examiner did not receive Applicants' Petition Under 37 CFR 1.48(a) submitted on December, 9, 2003, as shown on the date-stamped postcard 01 FC:1460 resubmitted herewith. Therefore, in response to the Decision Refusing Status Under 37 CFR 1.47(a), Applicants are resubmitting a copy of each document noted above and request both the Petition 37 CFR Under 1.48(a) and 37 CFR Under 1.183 be examined in conjunction with each other as was Applicants original intent by filing them concurrently.